

Foreign Exchange Rate Arbitrage using the Matrix Method

Yarong Hao*

บทคัดย่อ

บทความนี้ ว่าด้วยการค้นหาโอกาสค่ากำไรในตลาดเงินตราต่างประเทศ วิธีดั้งเดิมที่ใช้ในการบ่งชี้โอกาสค่ากำไรมัน อาจมีประสิทธิภาพต่ำเมื่อจำนวนสกุลเงินที่เราพิจารณาเพิ่มมากขึ้น ดังนั้น จึงเกิดความจำเป็นที่จะต้องหาวิธีที่มีประสิทธิภาพที่ใช้ในการค้นหาเส้นทางค่ากำไรที่ประกอบไปด้วยสกุลเงินหลายสกุล

หม่า (2004) ได้พัฒนาวิธีเมตริกซ์ที่มีประสิทธิภาพในการค้นหาเส้นทางการค้ากำไรในตลาดที่ประกอบไปด้วยสกุลเงิน N สกุลเงิน หม่า ยังได้ค้นพบเงื่อนไขเพียงพอสำหรับการมีอยู่ของโอกาสค่ากำไรด้วย โดยที่งานของหม่ามีพื้นฐานอยู่บนสมมติฐานที่ว่านักค้ากำไรสามารถซื้อและขายเงินตราแต่ละสกุลที่ราคาเดียวกัน อย่างไรก็ตาม ในความเป็นจริง ส่วนต่างราคาเสนอซื้อขายถือเป็นองค์ประกอบสำคัญของค่าใช้จ่ายในการซื้อขายเงินตราต่างประเทศ ดังนั้น เราควรคำนึงถึงส่วนต่างราคาเสนอซื้อขายในขั้นตอนการค้นหาเส้นทางค่ากำไรด้วย

ในบทความนี้ ผู้เขียนปรับเปลี่ยนวิธีเมตริกซ์ของหม่า เพื่อที่จะรองรับกรณีที่ส่วนต่างราคาเสนอซื้อขายไม่เป็นศูนย์ หลังจากนั้น บทความนี้ยังแสดงขั้นตอนการประยุกต์วิธีนี้ โดยมีข้อมูลอัตราแลกเปลี่ยน 65 วัน เป็นกรณีตัวอย่าง โดยมีข้อสังเกตว่า เงื่อนไขเพียงพอที่ถูกค้นพบในงานของหม่า นั้น ยังคงใช้ได้อยู่แม้กระทั่งในกรณีที่ส่วนต่างราคาเสนอซื้อขายไม่เป็นศูนย์



* Master of Science in Finance, Department of Banking and Finance, Faculty of Commerce and Accountancy, Chulalongkorn University.

Abstract

This article focuses on finding arbitrage opportunities in the international foreign exchange market. The traditional methods of distinguishing arbitrage opportunity have low efficiency, and difficulties arise when we face multiple currencies. This poses a need for an efficient method of searching for a multiple-currency arbitrage path.

Ma (2004) has developed a matrix method that efficiently searches for an arbitrage path in an N-currency market. Ma also derives a simple sufficient condition that will guarantee the presence of arbitrage opportunities. Ma's work is based on the assumption that one can buy or

sell each currency at zero bid-ask spread. However, the bid-ask spread represents an important component of the transaction costs, and therefore should be incorporated into the search for arbitrage path.

In this article, the author modifies Ma's matrix method to accommodate the case where the bid-ask spreads are nonzero. We then illustrate the use of the modified method, using a 65-day series of exchange rate quotes. We also observe that the sufficient condition derived in Ma's work still holds even for the case of nonzero bid-ask spread.

Key words : Matrix method, Arbitrage, bid-ask spread



1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Arbitrage is the most important concept in finance and is a fundamental mechanism for achieving efficiency in the financial markets (Ross 1976). An arbitrage opportunity occurs when a price discrepancy exists between two or more highly related assets. It is commonly assumed that state variables of financial instruments will disallow the existence of investment strategies with riskless profit. Active investors who have rapid identification, fast transactions, and low transaction costs will exploit any arbitrage opportunity in a financial market by buying the underpriced asset and selling the overpriced asset without any risk. Therefore, mispricing is rapidly corrected in highly competitive markets (Frenkel and Levich 1975,1977).

Foreign exchange market is an important part of the financial market. In the global foreign market, there will be the arbitrage opportunity due to the geographically separated market, difference of operation time and information. Exchange rate arbitrage is the practice of taking advantage of inconsistent exchange rates in different markets by selling in one market and simultaneously buying in another. Examination of data from ten markets over a 12-day period by Mavrides (1992) revealed that a significant arbitrage opportunity exists. Some opportunities were observed to be persistent for a long time. There are two types of arbitrage to the forex markets: Exchange rate arbitrage and Interest

rate arbitrage. Exchange rate arbitrage involved two-point and three-point arbitrage. Two-point arbitrage concerns two currencies in two different markets. Three-point arbitrage is commonly called triangular arbitrage, that is, exchange rates among different currencies may be mutually inconsistent. Arbitrageurs will then attempt to profit from these inconsistencies and in the process will eliminate discrepancies and establish mutually consistent cross-exchange rates. One can choose any currency to start. A successful arbitrage depends on whether the currency one starts with is the same as the end currency.

In most financial markets there are always two prices for a particular financial instrument at any one time which are known as the bid and the ask price. The bid price is the price at which the market maker (the actual entity that is on the other side of the trade) will buy and therefore the rate at which you the client can sell. The ask price is the price at which a market maker will sell and therefore the rate at which you, the client, can buy. The difference between the rate at which you can sell (the bid) and the rate at which you can buy (the ask) is referred to as “the spread”. for example, the current bid price for the EUR/USD currency pair is 1.5760 and the current ask price is 1.5763. This means that currently you can sell the EUR/USD at 1.5760 and buy at 1.5763. The difference between those prices is the spread. The bid-ask spreads exist in the real market. They represent an important component of the transaction costs.

1.2 Objective

This article tries to find the arbitrage opportunity with an efficient way in the international foreign exchange market. Because of the difficulty of the position, operating time and information, one needs an efficient algorithm to search for a multiple-currency arbitrage path.

Ma (2004) has developed the matrix method that efficiently searches for an arbitrage path in an N-currency market. Ma also derives a simple sufficient condition that will guarantee the presence of arbitrage opportunities. When $\lambda_{\max} = n$ there exists the arbitrage opportunity. Because the assumption of Ma's work bases on the zero bid-ask spread and the bid-ask spread is an important component of the transaction cost, we try to test whether $\lambda_{\max} \neq n$ still hold for the case with bid-ask spread, therefore, we need to modify method of Ma to get a new conclusion.

In this article, the author modifies the matrix method to accommodate the case where the bid-ask spread are nonzero. We gather three month data to illustrate the use of the modified method and find that the sufficient condition derived from Ma's work still holds for the case of the bid-ask spread.

2 Literature Review

Although the transaction of foreign exchange market has very developed with electronic system, the theory of foreign exchange arbitrage still heritage the triangular arbitrage theory in 1970s and takes

no further step for many years. Recently, Bollard and Connor(1996) adapt Kalman filter to filter tick data, copy with the erratic arrival of observation and produce estimates of all the arbitrage prices on every time step. The filter produces estimates of the arbitrage price for all exchange rates on every second, increasing both the speed and efficiency of arbitrage identification. Mao-cheng Cai and Xiaotie Deng (2003) study the computational complexity of arbitrage in frictional foreign exchange markets with bid-ask spread, bound and integrality constraints. Contrast to the complexity calculation of papers above, Ming Ma (2004) applies matrix to his analysis, in his paper, the arbitrage-free benchmark matrix B is constructed from real forex matrix A, matrix C reveals measures for deviation of each currency from its benchmark value which indicates the possibly optimal arbitrage path.

3 Modifying Ma's Matrix Method to Accommodate the Presence of Bid-Ask Spread

3.1 Data Collection

This article focuses on the arbitrage opportunity with six currency (USD, JPY, GBP, SGD, HKD, EUR) in six markets (New York, Frankford, Singapore, Tokyo, London, Hong Kong). For the three month study period from Sep 1, 2008 to Nov 28, 2008, the daily exchange rate data from Datastream. Note that the data for each exchange rate is not synchronized. For example, the daily HKD/USD rate and the USD/GBP rate are not collected at the same time of day. However, we

shall use this data set to illustrate the procedure of determining an arbitrage path.

3.2 Analytical Framework

Quantitative analysis is used to analyze the association between the arbitrage path, maximum bid-ask spread, profit and currency which be underpriced or overpriced. This analysis is based on Ma's matrix method, but some adjustments is made in order to accommodate the presence of the bid-ask spread.

This research performs three different matrix to analyze how the arbitrage path can be found with or without the bid-ask spread. Three month data is used. Both analysis are performed to show the arbitrage path, profit and find the currency which be underpriced or overpriced, which can provide

the optimal path and maximum profit for the arbitrage. We now illustrate the method for the case with bid-ask spread, the raw data is as follows.

Next, we calculate the λ_{\max} and eigen values and eigenvectors associated with λ_{\max} of matrix A with MATLAB, So we get $\lambda_{\max} = 6.0221$ and $G = [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n] = [0.3802, 0.5548, 0.6881, 0.0035, 0.049, 0.2678]$, because the exchange rate of any two currency is determined by their gold contend, therefore, there is no arbitrage opportunity and λ of matrix B is equal to n, where matrix B is the arbitrage free benchmark. We can prove (see Ma 2004) that the sufficient condition of no arbitrage is $\lambda_{\max} = n$ and the eigen value G is the gold contend. Here, we get the conclusion that there is arbitrage opportunity if λ_{\max} not equal to n.

Table 3-1 Raw data with bid price and ask price

| Currency pair | Price | Transaction date (65 days) | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-------|------------|------------|
| | | 2008-9-1 | 2008-9-2 | 2008-9-3 | 2008-9-4 | | 2008-11-27 | 2008-11-28 |
| USD / EUR | bid | 1.4585 | 1.4514 | 1.4491 | 1.4241 | | 1.2894 | 1.269 |
| | ask | 1.459 | 1.4519 | 1.4496 | 1.4246 | | 1.2899 | 1.2695 |
| USD / GBP | bid | 1.7993 | 1.7829 | 1.775 | 1.76 | | 1.5393 | 1.5381 |
| | ask | 1.7998 | 1.7834 | 1.7755 | 1.7605 | | 1.5398 | 1.5386 |
| HKD / USD | bid | 7.8054 | 7.8071 | 7.8075 | 7.8072 | | 7.7516 | 7.7502 |
| | ask | 7.8064 | 7.8081 | 7.8085 | 7.8082 | | 7.7526 | 7.7512 |
| JPY / USD | bid | 108.13 | 108.58 | 108.21 | 106.41 | | 95.31 | 95.53 |
| | ask | 108.18 | 108.64 | 108.25 | 106.46 | | 95.36 | 95.58 |
| SGD / USD | bid | 1.4237 | 1.4325 | 1.4351 | 1.4396 | | 1.5095 | 1.5133 |
| | ask | 1.4247 | 1.4335 | 1.4361 | 1.4406 | | 1.5105 | 1.5143 |
| HKD / EUR | bid | 11.3859 | 11.3356 | 11.2887 | 11.2284 | | 9.9793 | 9.8323 |
| | aks | 11.3894 | 11.3387 | 11.2918 | 11.2313 | | 9.9823 | 9.836 |
| JPY / EUR | bid | 157.7255 | 158.0066 | 156.7573 | 155.4083 | | 123.0111 | 120.8183 |
| | aks | 157.8017 | 158.0974 | 156.8332 | 155.4839 | | 123.0912 | 120.8945 |
| SGD / EUR | bid | 2.0775 | 2.0758 | 2.0765 | 2.063 | | 1.9423 | 1.9133 |
| | ask | 2.0789 | 2.0772 | 2.0778 | 2.0644 | | 1.9435 | 1.9148 |
| GBP / EUR | bid | 0.8109 | 0.8128 | 0.8137 | 0.8114 | | 0.8353 | 0.8267 |
| | ask | 0.8113 | 0.8132 | 0.814 | 0.8118 | | 0.8357 | 0.8272 |
| JPY / GBP | bid | 192.35 | 190.7 | 189.97 | 188.39 | | 142.24 | 143.12 |
| | ask | 200.35 | 198.7 | 197.97 | 196.39 | | 150.24 | 151.12 |
| JPY / SGD | bid | 75.66 | 75.23 | 75.14 | 74.84 | | 62.2 | 62.63 |
| | ask | 77.32 | 76.89 | 76.8 | 76.5 | | 63.86 | 64.29 |
| JPY / HKD | bid | 13.49 | 13.47 | 13.51 | 13.44 | | 11.87 | 11.89 |
| | ask | 14.35 | 14.33 | 14.37 | 14.3 | | 12.73 | 12.75 |
| HKD / SGD | bid | 5.477 | 5.477 | 5.421 | 5.4575 | | 5.128 | 5.141 |
| | ask | 5.4845 | 5.4845 | 5.4285 | 5.4655 | | 5.134 | 5.147 |
| HKD / GBP | bid | 14.095 | 14.095 | 13.825 | 13.895 | | 11.93 | 11.955 |
| | ask | 14.11 | 14.11 | 13.84 | 13.91 | | 11.945 | 11.97 |
| SGD/GBP | | 2.5657 | 2.5549 | 2.5501 | 2.5419 | | 2.3255 | 2.3261 |
| | | 2.5657 | 2.5549 | 2.5501 | 2.5419 | | 2.3255 | 2.3261 |

From the raw data, We pick Sep 1, 2008 as the example to construct matrix A, B, and C.

First, we build the matrix A with bid price and ask price., For example, 1.459 is the ask price of USD/EUR, 0.685636 equal to 1/1.4585, where the 1.4584 is the bid price of USD/EUR.

| A | 2008-9-1 | USD | EUR | GBP | JPY | HKD | SGD |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | USD | 1 | 0.685636 | 0.555772 | 108.18 | 7.8064 | 1.4247 |
| | EUR | 1.459 | 1 | 0.8113 | 157.8017 | 11.3894 | 2.0789 |
| | GBP | 1.7998 | 1.233198 | 1 | 200.35 | 14.11 | 2.5657 |
| | JPY | 0.009248 | 0.00634 | 0.005199 | 1 | 0.074129 | 0.013217 |
| | HKD | 0.128116 | 0.087828 | 0.070947 | 14.35 | 1 | 0.182582 |
| | SGD | 0.702395 | 0.481348 | 0.389757 | 77.32 | 5.4845 | 1 |

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{g_1}{g_1} & \frac{g_1}{g_2} & \dots & \frac{g_1}{g_n} \\ \frac{g_2}{g_1} & \frac{g_2}{g_2} & \dots & \frac{g_2}{g_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{g_n}{g_1} & \frac{g_n}{g_2} & \dots & \frac{g_n}{g_n} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ we get matrix B ,}$$

| B | 2008-9-1 | USD | EUR | GBP | JPY | HKD | SGD |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | USD | 1 | 0.685292 | 0.552536 | 108.6286 | 7.759184 | 1.419716 |
| | EUR | 1.459232 | 1 | 0.806278 | 158.5143 | 11.32245 | 2.071695 |
| | GBP | 1.809837 | 1.240267 | 1 | 196.6 | 14.04286 | 2.569455 |
| | JPY | 0.009206 | 0.006309 | 0.005086 | 1 | 0.071429 | 0.013069 |
| | HKD | 0.12888 | 0.08832 | 0.071211 | 14 | 1 | 0.182972 |
| | SGD | 0.704366 | 0.482696 | 0.389188 | 76.51429 | 5.465306 | 1 |

Last, with the formula $C=A\div B$, (where \div means element-by-element division), we get matrix C. for example, $0.99984=1.459/1.459232$.

| C | 2008-9-1 | USD | EUR | GBP | JPY | HKD | SGD |
|---|----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | USD | 1 | 1.0005 | 1.005856 | 0.995871 | 1.006085 | 1.00351 |
| | EUR | 0.99984 | 1 | 1.006228 | 0.995505 | 1.005913 | 1.003478 |
| | GBP | 0.99445 | 0.9943 | 1 | 1.019074 | 1.004781 | 0.998539 |
| | JPY | 1.00461 | 1.005 | 1.022095 | 1 | 1.037806 | 1.011291 |
| | HKD | 0.99408 | 0.99443 | 0.996301 | 1.025 | 1 | 0.997865 |
| | SGD | 0.9972 | 0.99721 | 1.001463 | 1.01053 | 1.003512 | 1 |

Table 3-2 Arbitrage with bid-ask spread

| | λ_{max} | Path | Profit(%) | Underpriced | Overpriced |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 2008-9-1 | 6.0221 | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 0.353 | GBP/ EUR HKD/ GBP | EUR/HKD |
| 2008-9-2 | 6.0222 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 1.221 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP | USD/HKD |
| 2008-9-3 | 6.0221 | HKD-GBP-EUR-HKD | 0.381 | GBP/HKD HKD/ EUR | EUR/GBP |
| 2008-9-4 | 6.0224 | USD-EUR-JPY-USD | 2.469 | EUR/USD JPY/ EUR USD/JPY | |
| 2008-9-5 | 6.0227 | USD-JPY-EUR-USD | 1.141 | JPY/USD USD/ EUR | EUR/JPY |
| 2008-9-8 | 6.0223 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 1.055 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP | USD/HKD |
| 2008-9-9 | 6.0225 | USD-EUR-JPY-USD | 0.706 | EUR/USD JPY/ EUR | USD/JPY |
| 2008-9-10 | 6.0226 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 0.798 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP | USD/HKD |
| 2008-9-11 | 6.0227 | USD-JPY-EUR-USD | 1.246 | JPY/USD USD/ EUR | EUR/JPY |
| 2008-9-12 | 6.0227 | USD-SGD-GBP-USD | 1.867 | SGD/USD GBP/SGD USD/GBP | |
| 2008-9-15 | 6.0227 | USD-HKD-GBP-USD | 1.569 | HKD/USD GBP/HKD | USD/GBP |
| 2008-9-16 | 6.0231 | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 1.128 | GBP/ EUR HKD/GBP | EUR/HKD |
| 2008-9-17 | 6.0228 | USD-HKD-GBP-USD | 0.845 | HKD/USD | GBP/HKD, USD/GBP |
| 2008-9-18 | 6.023 | USD-EUR-SGD-USD | 0.309 | EUR/USD SGD/ EUR | USD/SGD |
| 2008-9-19 | 6.0227 | EUR-HKD-GBP-EUR | 1.484 | HKD/EUR EUR/GBP GBP/HKD | |
| 2008-9-22 | 6.0224 | USD-HKD-EUR-USD | 1.031 | HKD/USD USD/EUR | EUR/HKD |
| 2008-9-23 | 6.0224 | USD-EUR-HKD-USD | 0.382 | EUR/USD HKD/EUR | USD/HKD |
| 2008-9-24 | 6.0225 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 0.390 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP | USD/HKD |
| 2008-9-25 | 6.0228 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 1.087 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP | USD/HKD |
| 2008-9-26 | 6.0225 | USD-GBP-SGD-USD | 0.351 | GBP/USD SGD/GBP | USD/SGD |
| 2008-9-29 | 6.0225 | USD-GBP-JPY-USD | 1.952 | GBP/USD USD/JPY | JPY/GBP |
| 2008-9-30 | 6.0233 | EUR-JPY-SGD-EUR | 1.412 | JPY/EUR EUR/SGD | SGD/JPY |
| 2008-10-1 | 6.0228 | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 1.947 | GBP/EUR HKD/GBP | EUR/HKD |
| 2008-10-2 | 6.0228 | EUR-GBP-SGD-EUR | 0.403 | GBP/EUR SGD/GBP | EUR/SGD |
| 2008-10-3 | 6.0231 | EUR-SGD-GBP-EUR | 0.630 | SGD/EUR GBP/SGD EUR/GBP | |
| 2008-10-6 | 6.0231 | EUR-SGD-JPY-EUR | 2.824 | SGD/EUR JPY/SGD EUR/JPY | |
| 2008-10-7 | 6.024 | USD-EUR-JPY-USD | 1.026 | EUR/USD JPY/EUR | USD/JPY |
| 2008-10-8 | 6.024 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 1.905 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP | USD/HKD |
| 2008-10-9 | 6.0245 | USD-EUR-JPY-USD | 1.362 | EUR/USD JPY/EUR | USD/JPY |
| 2008-10-10 | 6.0249 | USD-EUR-GBP-USD | 0.786 | EUR/USD GBP/EUR USD/GBP | |
| 2008-10-13 | 6.0254 | USD-JPY-SGD-USD | 2.752 | JPY/USD USD/SGD | SGD/JPY |
| 2008-10-14 | 6.0237 | EUR-SGD-GBP-EUR | 0.738 | SGD/EUR GBP/SGD | EUR/GBP |
| 2008-10-15 | 6.0241 | USD-EUR-JPY-USD | 2.292 | EUR/USD JPY/EUR | USD/JPY |
| 2008-10-16 | 6.0246 | USD-JPY-EUR-USD | 1.768 | JPY/USD USD/EUR | EUR/JPY |
| 2008-10-17 | 6.024 | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 0.465 | GBP/EUR HKD/GBP | EUR/HKD |
| 2008-10-20 | 6.024 | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 1.968 | GBP/EUR HKD/GBP | EUR/HKD |
| 2008-10-21 | 6.0242 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 2.515 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP | USD/HKD |
| 2008-10-22 | 6.0249 | USD-GBP-JPY-USD | 2.403 | GBP/USD JPY/GBP USD/JPY | |
| 2008-10-23 | 6.0257 | USD-JPY-EUR-USD | 0.999 | JPY/USD USD/EUR | EUR/JPY |
| 2008-10-24 | 6.0263 | EUR-GBP-JPY-EUR | 3.770 | GBP/EUR EUR/JPY | JPY/GBP |

| | λ_{\max} | Path | Profit(%) | Underpriced | Overpriced |
|------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|
| 2008-10-27 | 6.027 | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 0.994 | GBP/EUR HKD/GBP | EUR/HKD |
| 2008-10-28 | 6.028 | USD-JPY-GBP-USD | 5.953 | JPY/USD USD/GBP | GBP/JPY |
| 2008-10-29 | 6.0258 | USD-HKD-GBP-USD | 1.224 | HKD/USD GBP/HKD | USD/GBP |
| 2008-10-30 | 6.0253 | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 1.862 | GBP/EUR HKD/GBP | EUR/HKD |
| 2008-10-31 | 6.0255 | USD-GBP-SGD-USD | 1.181 | GBP/USD SGD/GBP | USD/SGD |
| 2008-11-3 | 6.0256 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 3.802 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP USD/HKD | |
| 2008-11-4 | 6.0255 | EUR-HKD-GBP-EUR | 2.398 | HKD/EUR GBP/HKD EUR/GBP | |
| 2008-11-5 | 6.0251 | USD-EUR-JPY-USD | 2.377 | EUR/USD JPY/EUR USD/JPY | |
| 2008-11-6 | 6.0256 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 1.616 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP | USD/HKD |
| 2008-11-7 | 6.0261 | USD-EUR-HKD-USD | 0.518 | EUR/USD HKD/EUR | USD/HKD |
| 2008-11-10 | 6.0254 | USD-EUR-JPY-USD | 1.075 | EUR/USD JPY/EUR USD/JPY | |
| 2008-11-11 | 6.026 | USD-GBP-HKD-USD | 1.442 | GBP/USD HKD/GBP | USD/HKD |
| 2008-11-12 | 6.0265 | USD-GBP-JPY-USD | 4.065 | GBP/USD USD/JPY | JPY/GBP |
| 2008-11-13 | 6.027 | USD-JPY-EUR-USD | 3.562 | JPY/USD USD/EUR | EUR/JPY |
| 2008-11-14 | 6.0266 | USD-EUR-SGD-USD | 0.778 | EUR/USD SGD/EUR | USD/SGD |
| 2008-11-17 | 6.0269 | EUR-HKD-GBP-EUR | 1.623 | HKD/EUR GBP/HKD EUR/GBP | |
| 2008-11-18 | 6.0268 | EUR-SGD-GBP-EUR | 0.497 | SGD/EUR GBP/SGD | EUR/GBP |
| 2008-11-19 | 6.0268 | USD-EUR-JPY-USD | 2.340 | EUR/USD JPY/EUR | USD/JPY |
| 2008-11-20 | 6.0269 | USD-EUR-JPY-USD | 1.394 | EUR/USD JPY/EUR USD/JPY | |
| 2008-11-21 | 6.0276 | USD-JPY-EUR-USD | 1.399 | JPY/USD USD/EUR | EUR/JPY |
| 2008-11-24 | 6.0279 | USD-JPY-SGD-USD | 3.204 | JPY/USD USD/SGD | SGD/JPY |
| 2008-11-25 | 6.0266 | USD-HKD-GBP-USD | 2.327 | HKD/USD GBP/HKD USD/GBP | |
| 2008-11-26 | 6.0268 | EUR-SGD-GBP-EUR | 0.522 | SGD/EUR GBP/SGD | EUR/GBP |
| 2008-11-27 | 6.0267 | USD-GBP-EUR-USD | 0.201 | GBP/USD USD/EUR | EUR/GBP |
| 2008-11-28 | 6.0267 | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 0.479 | GBP/EUR HKD/GBP | EUR/HKD |

Table 3-3 Arbitrage without bid-ask spread

| | λ_{\max} | Path | Profit(%) | maximum bid-ask spread | Underpriced | Overpriced |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| 2008-9-1 | 6* | EUR-GBP-JPY-EUR | 0.948 | 0.248 | GBP/ EUR JPY/GBP EUR/JPY | |
| 2008-9-2 | 6* | HKD-USD-GBP-HKD | 1.294 | 0.318 | USD/HKD GBP/USD HKD/GBP | |
| 2008-9-3 | 6* | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 0.952 | 0.249 | USD/JPY GBP/USD | JPY/GBP |
| 2008-9-4 | 6.0001 | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 2.687 | 0.544 | JPY/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-9-5 | 6* | JPY-EUR-USD-JPY | 1.207 | 0.301 | EUR/JPY USD/EUR | JPY/USD |
| 2008-9-8 | 6* | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 1.849 | 0.417 | JPY/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-9-9 | 6* | JPY-USD-SGD-JPY | 1.000 | 0.259 | USD/JPY SGD/USD JPY/SGD | |
| 2008-9-10 | 6* | GBP-HKD-USD-GBP | 0.874 | 0.233 | HKD/GBP USD/HKD GBP/USD | |
| 2008-9-11 | 6* | JPY-EUR-SGD-JPY | 1.368 | 0.333 | SGD/EUR JPY/SGD | EUR/JPY |
| 2008-9-12 | 6.0001 | GBP-USD-JPY-GBP | 2.677 | 0.543 | USD/GBP JPY/USD GBP/JPY | |
| 2008-9-15 | 6.0001 | JPY-USD-HKD-JPY | 2.631 | 0.537 | USD/JPY HKD/USD | JPY/HKD |

| | λ_{max} | Path | Profit(%) | maximum bid-ask spread | Underpriced | Overpriced |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| 2008-9-16 | 6* | JPY-HKD-USD-JPY | 1.266 | 0.313 | HKD/JPY USD/HKD JPY/USD | |
| 2008-9-17 | 6.0001 | JPY-USD-HKD-JPY | 1.988 | 0.440 | USD/JPY HKD/USD | JPY/HKD |
| 2008-9-18 | 6* | JPY-HKD-USD-JPY | 0.681 | 0.189 | HKD/JPY USD/HKD | JPY/USD |
| 2008-9-19 | 6.0001 | GBP-USD-JPY-GBP | 2.469 | 0.513 | USD/GBP JPY/USD GBP/JPY | |
| 2008-9-22 | 6* | JPY-USD-HKD-JPY | 1.326 | 0.325 | USD/JPY HKD/USD | JPY/HKD |
| 2008-9-23 | 6* | GBP-HKD-JPY-GBP | 1.049 | 0.270 | HKD/GBP GBP/JPY | JPY/HKD |
| 2008-9-24 | 6* | JPY-HKD-USD-JPY | 0.680 | 0.189 | HKD/JPY USD/HKD | JPY/USD |
| 2008-9-25 | 6* | GBP-HKD-USD-GBP | 1.274 | 0.3150 | HKD/GBP USD/HKD GBP/USD | |
| 2008-9-26 | 6* | HKD-GBP-EUR-HKD | 1.522 | 0.3612 | GBP/HKD HKD/EUR | EUR/GBP |
| 2008-9-29 | 6.0001 | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 4.124 | 0.724 | JPY/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-9-30 | 6.0001 | EUR-JPY-SGD-EUR | 2.634 | 0.537 | JPY/EUR SGD/JPY EUR/SGD | |
| 2008-10-1 | 6.0001 | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 2.069 | 0.453 | GBP/EUR HKD/GBP EUR/HKD | |
| 2008-10-2 | 6* | EUR-SGD-JPY-EUR | 1.580 | 0.371 | SGD/EUR JPY/SGD EUR/JPY | |
| 2008-10-3 | 6* | EUR-JPY-GBP-EUR | 1.261 | 0.312 | JPY/EUR GBP/JPY EUR/GBP | |
| 2008-10-6 | 6.0003 | EUR-GBP-JPY-EUR | 5.059 | 0.823 | GBP/EUR JPY/GBP EUR/JPY | |
| 2008-10-7 | 6* | EUR-JPY-GBP-EUR | 1.801 | 0.409 | JPY/EUR GBP/JPY EUR/GBP | |
| 2008-10-8 | 6.0001 | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 3.703 | 0.675 | JPY/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-10-9 | 6* | JPY-USD-EUR-JPY | 1.433 | 0.344 | USD/JPY EUR/USD JPY/EUR | |
| 2008-10-10 | 6* | JPY-HKD-USD-JPY | 1.325 | 0.324 | HKD/JPY USD/HKD | JPY/USD |
| 2008-10-13 | 6.0002 | GBP-USD-JPY-GBP | 5.185 | 0.835 | USD/GBP JPY/USD GBP/JPY | |
| 2008-10-14 | 6* | HKD-GBP-EUR-HKD | 1.655 | 0.384 | EUR/GBP HKD/EUR | GBP/HKD |
| 2008-10-15 | 6.0001 | JPY-USD-GBP-JPY | 3.411 | 0.640 | USD/JPY GBP/USD JPY/GBP | |
| 2008-10-16 | 6* | JPY-SGD-USD-JPY | 1.921 | 0.429 | USD/SGD JPY/USD | SGD/JPY |
| 2008-10-17 | 6* | EUR-GBP-JPY-EUR | 0.752 | 0.205 | GBP/EUR EUR/JPY | JPY/GBP |
| 2008-10-20 | 6* | EUR-GBP-HKD-EUR | 2.084 | 0.455 | GBP/EUR HKD/GBP EUR/HKD | |
| 2008-10-21 | 6.0002 | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 4.496 | 0.764 | JPY/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-10-22 | 6.0002 | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 4.962 | 0.813 | JPY/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-10-23 | 6* | JPY-EUR-USD-JPY | 1.072 | 0.274 | USD/EUR JPY/USD | EUR/JBP |
| 2008-10-24 | 6.0004 | EUR-GBP-JPY-EUR | 6.561 | 0.962 | GBP/EUR JPY/GBP EUR/JBP | |
| 2008-10-27 | 6.0001 | EUR-GBP-JPY-EUR | 3.520 | 0.653 | GBP/EUR JPY/GBP EUR/JBP | |
| 2008-10-28 | 6.0006 | GBP-USD-JPY-GBP | 8.936 | 1.149 | USD/GBP JPY/USD GBP/JPY | |
| 2008-10-29 | 6* | GBP-SGD-JPY-GBP | 1.879 | 0.422 | SGD/GBP JPY/SGD GBP/JPY | |
| 2008-10-30 | 6.0001 | EUR-GBP-JPY-EUR | 2.546 | 0.524 | GBP/EUR JPY/GBP EUR/JPY | |
| 2008-10-31 | 6* | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 1.351 | 0.329 | USD/JPY GBP/USD | JPY/GBP |
| 2008-11-3 | 6.0002 | HKD-USD-GBP-HKD | 3.927 | 0.701 | USD/HKD GBP/USD HKD/GBP | |
| 2008-11-4 | 6.0001 | EUR-HKD-GBP-EUR | 2.502 | 0.518 | HKD/EUR GBP/HKD EUR/GBP | |
| 2008-11-5 | 6.0001 | EUR-JPY-USD-EUR | 2.456 | 0.511 | JPY/EUR USD/JPY EUR/USD | |
| 2008-11-6 | 6.0001 | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 2.662 | 0.541 | JPY/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-11-7 | 6.0001 | EUR-JPY-GBP-EUR | 2.478 | 0.515 | JPY/EUR EUR/GBP | GBP/JPY |
| 2008-11-10 | 6.0001 | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 2.632 | 0.537 | JPY/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-11-11 | 6* | GBP-HKD-USD-GBP | 1.508 | 0.358 | HKD/GBP USD/HKD GBP/USD | |

| | λ_{\max} | Path | Profit(%) | maximum bid-ask spread | Underpriced | Overpriced |
|------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| 2008-11-12 | 6.0004 | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 6.956 | 0.996 | JPY/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-11-13 | 6.0001 | JPY-EUR-USD-JPY | 3.639 | 0.667 | EUR/JPY USD/EUR JPY/USD | |
| 2008-11-14 | 6* | EUR-SGD-JPY-EUR | 0.944 | 0.248 | SGD/EUR JPY/SGD EUR/JPY | |
| 2008-11-17 | 6.0001 | EUR-JPY-GBP-EUR | 2.071 | 0.453 | GBP/JPY EUR/GBP | JPY/EUR |
| 2008-11-18 | 6* | EUR-JPY-GBP-EUR | 1.255 | 0.311 | JBP/EUR GBP/JPY EUR/GBP | |
| 2008-11-19 | 6* | EUR-JPY-USD-EUR | 2.419 | 0.506 | JBP/EUR USD/JPY EUR/USD | |
| 2008-11-20 | 6.0001 | GBP-JPY-USD-GBP | 3.560 | 0.658 | JBP/GBP USD/JPY GBP/USD | |
| 2008-11-21 | 6.0001 | GBP-USD-JPY-GBP | 2.894 | 0.573 | USD/GBP GBP/USD GBP/JPY | |
| 2008-11-24 | 6.0003 | JPY-GBP-USD-JPY | 6.117 | 0.923 | GBP/JPY USD/GBP JBP/USD | |
| 2008-11-25 | 6.0001 | GBP-USD-HKD-GBP | 2.397 | 0.503 | USD/GBP HKD/USD GBP/HKD | |
| 2008-11-26 | 6* | EUR-SGD-GBP-EUR | 0.598 | 0.169 | SGD/EUR GBP/SGD EUR/GBP | |
| 2008-11-27 | 6* | EUR-JPY-GBP-EUR | 0.710 | 0.195 | JBP/EUR EUR/GBP | GBP/JPY |
| 2008-11-28 | 6* | EUR-GBP-JPY-EUR | 0.665 | 0.185 | GBP/EUR JBP/GBP EUR/JPY | |

From matrix C, we can find which currency overpriced or underpriced. Now, we try to find an arbitrage path. we convert EUR into GBP, where GBP is underpriced, then convert GBP into HKD, where HKD is underpriced. At last we convert HKD into EUR to close the route and realize the profit. $1/0.9943 * 1/0.996301 * 1/1.005913 - 1 = 0.35328\%$. Even though this profit is calculated from the hypothetical matrix C, we can show that it is equal to the real profit computed using real-world exchange rate from matrix A. To see this, suppose that we followed the path EUR -> GBP -> HKD -> EUR, using the rates quoted in matrix A. The profit from this transaction (taking in the effect of bid-ask spread) is $(1 / 1.233198 / 0.070947 / 11.3894) - 1 = 0.35328\%$, which is equal to the profit computed from the numbers in matrix C.

3.3 Result

Result presented in two tables. Table 3-2 shows the arbitrage with bid-ask spread and Table 3-3 shows the arbitrage without bid-ask spread.

4 Conclusion

This article gathers data of 65 days in three month to find the arbitrage opportunity with three different currencies. If ignoring the sequence of conversion, there are 40 path to get the profit. we pick the maximum profit from everyday to analyze the arbitrage opportunity. (there is no multi-point arbitrage if no three-point arbitrage. The less the

currency, the shorter the path the shorter the path, the more profit with the bid-ask spread.). in this article, we do not consider the borrowing cost, which means we assume no bid-ask spread in the money market.

- In this article, we observe (although we still cannot prove rigorously) that the sufficient condition for the existence of arbitrage path, $\lambda_{max} \neq n$, still holds even for the case of nonzero bid-ask spread.

- From the data of three month, we found that if the maximum bid-ask spreads are, on average, less than 0.47753% (average of 65 days), there will be opportunity for arbitrage profit.

- we also find the pair of currencies that appears most often, which can tell the investor the optimal path for the arbitrage. Under the situation of the bid-ask spread, the GBP-HKD (HKD underpriced with respect to GBP) appears 54 times in 65 days and the USD-GBP (GBP underpriced with respect to USD) appears 48 times. Without the bid-ask spread, the GBP-JPY (JPY underpriced with respect to GBP) and JPY-USD (USD underpriced with respect to JPY) appear 60 times, the USD-GBP appears 54 times. It should be noted, however, that the arbitrage opportunities that we found may be a result of asynchronous data. Nevertheless, the modified matrix method presented in this article can be used on tick data to determine an arbitrage path in real time.

Reference

- Ming Ma. (2004). Generalized Foreign Exchange Arbitrage: An Indicator and a Possible Optimal Arbitrage Path. **China Economic Quarterly**, **3**, 143-146.
- Fama, E. F. (1965). The Behaviour of Stock Market Prices. **Journal of Business**, **38**, 34-105.
- Abeyssekera, S. P, and H. J.Turtle. (1995). Long-run Relations in Exchange Markets:A Test of covered interest parity. **J. Financial Research**, **4**, 431-447.
- Deng, X. and C. Papadimitriou. (1994). On the Complexity of Cooperative Game Solution Concepts. **Mathematics of Operations Research**, **2**, 257-266.
- Cai, mao-cheng and TieJun Deng. (2003). Arbitrage in Frictional Foreign Exchange Market. **Electronic Notes in Theoretical Compute Science**, **2003**, **78**, 1343-1351.
- Bolland, P. J. and Connor, J. T. (1995). **Multivariate Non-Linear Kalman Filters**. London Business School, Technical Report.
- Saaty, T. L. (1980). **The Analysis Hierarchy Process**. New York, McGraw-Hill.
- J.Y. Choi, Dan Salandro, and Kuldeep Shastri. (2003). On the Estimation of Bid-Ask Spreads:Theory and Evidence. **Journal of Finance**, **2**, 378-383.
- Philippe Caller. (1981). One Way Arbitrage,Foreign Exchange and Securities Markets:A Note. The **Journal of Finance**, jstor.org.
- John Affleck-Graves. (2002). **Detecing Abnormal Bid-Ask Spread:A Comparison of Event Study Methods**. Springer.
- Beng-Soon Chong,Dbaid K. Ding And Kok-Hui Tan. (1998). Maturity Effect on Bid-Ask Spreads of Otc Currency Options. **Journal of Accounting Research**, **3**, 564-569.
- Rao, C. Radhakrishna and M. Bhaskara Rao. (1998). **Matrix Algebra and Its Application to Satisitics and Econometrics**. New Jersey: Springer.
- Bolland, P.J. and Connor, J,T. (2000). **A Robust Non-linear multivariate Kalman filter for Arbitrage Identifeication in High Frequency Data**. London business school, Department of decision science,mimo.
- Bollersleve, T. (1986). Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity. **Journal of Econometrics**, **31**, 307-327.

- Bessembinder, H. (1994). Bid-Ask Spreads in the Interbank Foreign Exchange Markets. **Journal of Financial Economics**, **35**, 317-348.
- Bjonnes, G. H., and D. Rime. (2005). Dealer Behavior and Trading Systems in Foreign Exchange Markets. **Journal of Financial Economics**, **75**, 571-605.
- Cassuto, A.E. (1995). Non-Normal Error Patterns: How to Handle Them. **The Journal of Business Forecasting? Methods and Systems**, **1**, 15-16.
- Chakrabarti, R. (2000). Just Another Day in the Inter-Bank Foreign Exchange Market. **Journal of Financial Economics**, **56**, 29-64.
- Dornbusch, R.. (1967). Expectation and Exchange Rate Dynamics. **Journal of Political Economy**, **84**, 1161-1176.
- Engle, R. (1982). Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of U.K. Inflation. **Journal of Econometrics**, **50**, 987-1008.
- Flood, D. (1994). Market Structure and Inefficiency in the Foreign Exchange Market. **Journal of International Money and Finance**, **13**, 131-158.
- Gerety, Mason S. and J. Harold Mulherin. (1992). Trading Halts and Market Activity: An Analysis of Volume at the Open and the Close. **Journal of Finance**, **47**, 1765-1784.
- Goodhart, C. (1988). The Foreign Exchange Market: A Random Walk with a Dragging Anchor. **Journal of Economics**, **55**, 437-460.
- Hua, Mingshu. (1998). The Intradaily Price-Volume Patterns in the Taipei Foreign Exchange Market. **Journal of Financial Studies**, **5**, 73-103.
- Ito, T., R.K. Lyons, and M. T. Melvin. (1998). Is there Private Information in the Foreign Exchange Market? The Tokyo experiment. **Journal of Finance**, **53**, 1111-1131.
- Jorion, P. (1996). **Risk and Turnover in the Foreign Exchange Market, The Microstructure of Foreign Exchange Market**. New York: McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Lyons, R. K. (1995). Tests of Microstructure Hypotheses in the Foreign Exchange Market. **Journal of Financial Economics**, **39**, 321-351.
- Lyons, R. K. (1997). A Simultaneous Trade Model of the Foreign Exchange Hot Potato. **Journal of International Economics**, **42**, 275-298.

- Lyons, R. K. (1998). Profit and Position control : A week of FX Dealing. **Journal of International Money and Financial**, **17**, 97-115.
- O'Hara, M., G. Oldfield. (1986). The Microeconomics of market Making. **Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis**, **21**, 361-376.
- Payne R. (2003). Informed Trade in Spot Foreign Exchange Market: An Empirical Investigation. **Journal of International Economics**, **61**, 307-309.
- Peiers, B. (1997). Informed Traders, Intervention, and Price Leadership: A Deeper View of the Microstructure of the Foreign Exchange Market. **Journal of Finance**, **54**, 1589-1614.
- Hsieh, D. A., Kleidon, A. W. (1996). **Bid-Ask Spread in Foreign Exchange Market? Implications for Models Asymmetric Information**, in Frankel, A., G. Galli and A. Giovannini, (Eds.), The Microstructure of Foreign Exchange Market. Illinois: University of Chicago Press.
- Clinton, K. (1998). Transactions Costs and Covered Interest Arbitrage : Theory and evidence. **Journal of Political Economy**, **2**, 350-358.
- Saaty, T. L. (1980). **The analytic hierarchy process**. New York :McGraw-Hill.
- Cai maocheng. (1998). **Minimum k Arborescences with bandwidth constraints**. Dalian.
- Frankel. J and R. Levich. (1977). Transaction costs and interest arbitrage: tranquil versus turbulent period. **Journal of political Economy**, **85**, 209-226.
- Frankel. J and R. Levich. (1975). Covered interest arbitrage: unexpected profits? **Journal of Economy**, **5**, 325-338.
- Krugman. P. (1979). A model of balance of payments crisis. (1979). **Journal of Money**, **5**, 311-325.
- Khosrow Doroodian. Chulhojung and Roy Boyd. (1996). Testing the law of one price under the fixed and flexible exchange rate system. **Journal of Applied Economies Letters**, **6**, 613-616.